

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,325	01/29/2004	Laurence W. Davies	80210-952 ADB	1242
23529 ADE & COMP	7590 05/16/200 ANY INC.	7	EXAMINER	
2157 Henderson	7 Henderson Highway RUDDOCK, ULA CONTINIPEG, MB R2G1P9		LA CORINNA	
CANADA	B KZGIPY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			th/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/766,325	DAVIES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of the	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	's
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC at cause the application to become A	ICATION.  I reply be timely filed  INTHS from the mailing date of this commu	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 F</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		rits is
	=x parte Quayle, 1955 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/766,325

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 20, 2007, has been entered.
- 2. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's response filed February 20, 2007. In view of Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks, the rejections in view of Davis et al. (US 6,881,288 and US 6,872,273) have been overcome. However, the rejection in view of WO 00/78529 (WO '529) and Kaiser (US 5,851,468) has been maintained.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/78529 (WO '529) in view of Kaiser (US 5,851,468). WO '520 discloses a pultruded part and a method of preparing a reinforcement mat for the part. The improved reinforcement mat is made up of a number of layers, including a layer having fibers which extend transversely of the mat and binding resin for the fibers. The invention is especially useful for pultrusion of parts for fenestration products (pg 1, ln 9-15). The mat and rovings are primarily glass products, while the resin matrix is a thermosetting material (page 1, ln 26-27). Holes are formed in the mat layers which extend

Application/Control Number: 10/766,325

Art Unit: 1771

through the thickness of the mat layers and receive binding resin therein for increasing the binding effect of the resin upon hardening of the latter (page 4, In 16-19). It should be noted that the Examiner is equating the holes in the reinforcing mat to be a scrim as required by the present invention. WO '529 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the fibers are made of metal and that the resin is a thermosetting polyurethane.

Kaiser (US 5,851,468) discloses a reinforcing structural rebar comprising an inner core formed by pultruding reinforcing fibers (abstract). The thermosetting resin is preferably a polyurethane (col 3, ln 34-35). The reinforcing fibers include metal fibers (col 10, ln 66). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used Kaiser's thermosetting polyurethane and metal fibers in place of the thermosetting resin and glass fibers of WO' 520, motivated by the desire to create a pultruded article that has a higher tensile strength and structural integrity.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, 21, 22, and 23, it has been held that the basis weight of f the fibers is a result effective variable. The weight of the fibers will directly affect the strength of the fabric. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used a fabric having a total quantity of fibers of less than 0.5 ounces per square foot, less than 0.25 ounces per square foot, and less than 0.1 ounces per square foot, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the present invention, one would have optimized the basis weight of the fibers, motivated by the desire to create a fabric that has increased strength and durability.

Art Unit: 1771

### Rejection is maintained.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 20, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that because the WO 00/78529 reference cannot be cited under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), it cannot be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103. This argument is not persuasive because WO 00/78529 is a reference under 102(a) and therefore, is still prior art, and can be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103. It should be noted that the WO 00/78529 does not correspond to the previously cited Davies references. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**UCR** 

Wa Ruddock 1914 G. Buddock 1914 any Exeminer Texh Carter 1700